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## Summary

Videotext Communications was commissioned by Channel 4 to carry out an archaeological evaluation, as part of the Time Team television series, on Castle Hill, Ipswich, Suffolk (centred on TM 61475 24664). A Roman villa was first recorded in the 1850s when mosaics and building foundations were recognised on the site. Subsequent excavations in 1931 by Reid Moir and Maynard, and more extensive work by Brown from 1946 to 1950, revealed building foundations and a bathhouse. More recently an aisled barn and a second bath house were discovered in 1989 by the Suffolk Archaeological Unit on land to the south.

The archaeological evaluation aimed to establish the position of the villa as recorded by Brown within the present landscape, to establish its full extent, including the presence or absence of wings, and to evaluate the present condition of the remains. The work included re-evaluation of existing plans, geophysical survey and 12 trial trenches, some of which were machine excavated. The work was undertaken over three days in April 2003.

The evaluation produced no evidence for side wings to the villa, so providing support for Brown's contention that it was constructed as a single range. However, attempts to fix his excavation plan to the present landscape were unsuccessful. The evaluation also confirmed Brown's conclusion that the villa had been heavily robbed for building stone in the past. A number of heavily robbed wall trenches were identified on the eastern side the villa, in one case associated with a layer of burning possibly representing a collapsed wattle and daub wall, while the evaluation trenches to the south of the villa produced a low density of Roman artefacts.

The work also provided evidence as to the current condition of the site, revealing that most of the stratigraphy on the site has been removed by the earlier excavations and that only isolated patches of undisturbed deposit remain. Most of the west end of the villa has been totally excavated, although unexcavated features do exist at the base of the site.
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## 1 BACKGROUND

### 1.1 Description of the site

1.1.1 Videotext Communications was commissioned by Channel 4 to carry out an archaeological evaluation, as part of the Time Team, television series, on Castle Hill, Ipswich, Suffolk. This report sets out the results of the evaluation and has been produced by Wessex Archaeology, who have been commissioned by Time Team to undertake the assessment and post-excavation for the 2003 series of projects.
1.1.2 The site lies on Castle Hill, a suburb built in the 1950s in the northwest of Ipswich at grid reference TM 147466 (Figure 1). The site was located towards the crest of a hill, approximately 35 m OD. The geology is mapped as Glacial or Kesgrave Sand and Gravel (BGS Ipswich (1990) Sheet 207), which makes up the crest of the hill, and Boulder Clay, which comprises the surrounding area. Castle Hill lies approximately 9.6 km southeast from the nearest known Roman town at Coddenham (Combretovium), and 4.8 km east of the Roman Road that passes through that town (Pye Road).

### 1.2 Previous archaeological work

1.2.1 Castle Hill has been subject to a number of archaeological excavations in the past. Mosaics were found on the site in the 1850s. More formal excavations first took place in 1931 (Moir and Maynard 1933), which were continued in 1948-50 by Basil Brown due to impending housing development (Figure 2). In 1989 Suffolk Archaeology excavated further buildings south of the original focus.
1.2.2 The results of the previous excavations indicated that the remains of a Roman villa, dated from at least the early $\mathrm{AD} 2^{\text {nd }}$ to the late $4^{\text {th }}$ century, lay immediately below the south facing crest of the hill. Finds from the excavations, including large mosaics, suggested considerable wealth.
1.2.3 The earliest phase of the building appeared to have been a post-built structure with some flint footings added. This was completely reconstructed in the late $2^{\text {nd }}$ century, but the scant excavation records provide no information of any possible later phases. A bath house, an aisled building and an industrial building (possibly for corn drying) were identified south of the villa in the excavations of 1989. These excavations indicated that the main period of occupation was in the $\mathrm{AD} 3^{\text {rd }}$ to $4^{\text {th }}$ centuries.
1.2.4 The wide range of finds from the site have included mosaics and tessellated floors, Samian pottery, finger rings, a seal box and stylae for writing, a jet plaque depicting ATYS (a deity worshipped in Phrygia, and later throughout the Roman empire), painted plaster, and various coins (ranging between AD $1^{\text {st }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ century); also bone hair pins, personal accessories such as tweezers, mirror fragments, and household iron tools; and animal bones, chiefly pig and ox.

## 2 METHODS

### 2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 A project design for the work was compiled by Videotext Communications (Videotext Communications 2003), providing full details of the circumstances and methods, as summarised here.

### 2.2 Aims and objectives

2.2.1 The project provided an opportunity to collate plans from the previous, disparate archaeological works on the site undertaken by Reid Moir and Maynard, and Brown, to establish their location in the present landscape and to investigate the extent and character of the Roman buildings both on and beyond it. This work could be achieved using a combination of geophysical survey and excavation.
2.2.2 The unfinished excavations from the 1948-50 period, together with the mosaic finds from the last century suggested that the villa was larger and wealthier than any others yet known from Suffolk. The lack of large lavish villas from the region has been considered to reflect differences in the rate at which the Romano-British lifestyle was adopted compared to the west or north of the country. However many significant coin hoards have been found in this part of the country, which allude to extreme wealth being held in the region.
2.2.3 It was hoped that sufficient deposits might remain intact within the area of the previous excavations, and beyond, to clarify when the villa was first built, whether there was any evidence of earlier, Iron Age, activity and how the villa developed structurally and economically within the area.
2.2.4 The evaluation also provided a condition survey of the site and served as a source of additional archaeological data to that already held by the Suffolk County Council Sites and Monuments Record for the future management and any redevelopment within the immediate area.

### 2.3 Fieldwork methodology

2.3.1 The fieldwork strategy began with an extensive ground resistance survey across the site with the aim of locating the extent of the villa and any outlying buildings, and so guiding the subsequent location of the evaluation trenches. The effectiveness of the geophysical survey, however, was inhibited by the fact that survey areas were restricted to individual garden plots.
2.3.2 All the work was undertaken within private gardens, some of which were well maintained, so limiting the size and location of the trenches. Where access was restricted, excavation and backfilling were undertaken by hand, but where access was possible for a tracked mini-digger, and consent granted, trenches were dug by machine.
2.3.3 Twelve evaluation trenches of varying lengths were dug after consultation with the on-site director, Miles Russell and associated specialists. Their precise locations were determined so as to investigate geophysical anomalies, and to re-examine areas
of known archaeological features within the areas of previous excavation in order to answer the specific aims and objectives of the project design.
2.3.4 Seven trenches were excavated using the tracked mini-digger fitted with a 1 m toothless ditching bucket. The remaining five trenches were hand dug. All machine work was undertaken under constant archaeological supervision and ceased at the identification of significant archaeological deposits, or where natural bedrock was encountered first. When machine excavation had ceased all trenches were cleaned by hand and archaeological deposits were excavated. Where it could be shown that archaeological deposits were of recent date, especially those of former backfill, limited machine excavation continued until in situ deposits or the natural geology were revealed. No trenches were excavated beyond a depth at which it was considered safe to enter, in accordance with accepted safety procedures.
2.3.5 A sufficient sample of all deposits was examined to allow the resolution of the principal questions outlined in the aims and objectives above. Other deposits, some of which were regarded as archaeologically significant, were recorded, preserved in situ, sealed by sand and backfilled.
2.3.6 A site code (IPS 421), which is compatible with the system used for all archaeological work currently undertaken by Suffolk County Council, was allocated to the site before the evaluation began.
2.3.7 All archaeological deposits were recorded using Wessex Archaeology's pro forma record sheets with a unique numbering system for individual contexts. Trenches were located using a Trimble Real Time Differential GPS survey system. All archaeological features and deposits were planned at a scale of 1:20 and sections drawn at 1:10. All principal strata and features were related to Ordnance Survey datum and a photographic record of the investigations and individual features was maintained.
2.3.8 All spoil was metal detected by Trevor Southgate and Kelvin Gage, as recommended by Jude Plouviez of Suffolk County Council.
2.3.9 At the completion of the work all trenches were reinstated using the excavated spoil from the trenches and turf re-laid or replaced. All artefacts were transported to the offices of Wessex Archaeology where they were processed and assessed for this report.
2.3.10 The work was carried out from $8^{\text {th }}-10^{\text {th }}$ April 2003.
2.3.11 The excavated material and archive, including plans, photographs and written records are currently held at the offices of Wessex Archaeology at Salisbury under the code 52568 where they await to be deposited and curated at the Ipswich Museum under code IPS 421.

## 3 RESULTS

Details of individual excavated contexts and features, a full geophysical report (GSB 2003) and results of artefact and environmental sample analysis are retained in archive.

### 3.1 Geophysical survey

3.1.1 The results of the ground resistance survey were of limited value. After equalising the data to take into account the differing arrays used and the large number of disconnected survey areas, it became apparent that a concentration of high resistance anomalies existed in the northern half of the site. However, although these anomalies appeared to relate reasonably well with the existing plans of the villa, correlation with the deposits and features exposed in the Time Team excavations remains unclear. It is believed, therefore, that earth resistance survey succeeded in mapping the location of former excavation trenches, rather than the archaeological remains themselves.
3.1.2 Several areas beyond the main area of the villa, which were initially thought to be of interest, proved upon excavation to be natural gravel-type deposits or related to modern landscaping and ground disturbance.

### 3.2 Archaeological evaluation

3.2.1 Details of individual excavated contexts and features are retained in archive. Archaeological features were overlain by mid grey-brown well-sorted friable silty clay topsoil, $0.20-0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ thick, that was normally preserved within the turf of individual lawns. Although the underlying stratification of individual trenches varied, a distinction can be made between those to the north, in properties along Tranmere Grove, and those to the south, in properties along with Chesterfield Drive. In the former, in the main area of the Roman villa, the underlying subsoil accumulation was heavily disturbed, and included backfill and refuse from former excavations with fragments of mixed Roman material. In the latter, where there was less Roman and later activity, the soil profile was generally undisturbed and represented a subsoil accumulation, up to 0.60 m thick, which may have resulted partially from agricultural activity that preceded housing in the 1950s.

## Tranmere Grove

3.2.2 Eight evaluation trenches were located in gardens to the rear of houses in Tranmere Grove (Figure 3), in the known area of the Roman villa.

## Trench 1

3.2.3 A hand-dug excavation was located in the lawn of 21 Tranmere Grove. This garden was believed to contain a series of intersecting wall alignments as recorded by Brown. It was hoped that if they could be found it would be possible to plot the position of the villa more accurately, reconstruct the wall alignments, and reconsider the phasing of the site. It would also help establish how much of the site Brown had excavated and predict more reliably where undisturbed deposits might be located.
3.2.4 The trench was laid out to cover as large an area as possible in order to maximise the number and extent of wall lines revealed, in the belief that they would lie close to the surface. However, it was soon clear that the backfilled overburden was deeper than anticipated, and the trench was reduced in size to 3.5 m east-west and 3.0 m north-south.
3.2.5 No wall lines were identified in the trench. The thin $(0.10 \mathrm{~m})$ topsoil overlay a mid grey brown sandy clay layer (101), 1.25 m deep containing fragments of re-deposited stone, mortar, Roman brick and tile, but also modern metal fragments and tin sheeting. This deposit was excavated to a depth of approximately 0.50 m below the turf line across the entire evaluation trench, but was then excavated in an 'L' shaped slot, 0.80 m wide, to reduce the quantity of material to be removed.
3.2.6 The natural clay was exposed along the north edge at 34.97 m OD but to the south the backfill continued into the top of a large, possibly square, pit (103), which contained in situ Roman deposits. This feature was filled with yellow brown sandy silt (105) and contained fragments of Roman tile. The upper 0.40 m of this feature were removed, but due to insufficient time and the fact that the slot was too narrow and deep to be excavated safely, excavation of the trench was terminated. The presence of the pit demonstrated, however, that undisturbed deposits remain within the area of Brown's excavation, although they lie at a considerable depth.

Trench 2 (Figure 4)
3.2.7 This trench, measuring 9 m long and 1 m wide, was hand dug through the lawn of 17 Tranmere Grove. Its purpose was to locate projected wall lines, indicated on Brown's plan, on the same line as those anticipated, but not found, in Trench 1 (above).
3.2.8 The excavation revealed 0.44 m of dark brown silty topsoil (201) overlying archaeological deposits. An east-west linear feature (205), 1.5 m wide and 0.43 m with moderately sloping sides and a rounded base, filled with brown loam (204), crossed the trench c. 3 m from its south end in the approximate position of an anomaly detected by geophysical survey. It was visible immediately below the modern turf line and cut through a number of underlying archaeological deposits. It is likely to date from the 1950s, although the absence of backfilled demolition rubble makes it impossible to determine whether it represented the earlier excavation of a former robbed wall trench.
3.2.9 There was a clear distinction in the character of the deposits to the north and south of the feature. Those to the north comprised a compact layer of dark brown silty loam (202), approximately 0.20 m thick, containing fragments of mortar, overlying a layer of mid brown silty loam (209), approximately 0.16 m thick. These deposits were revealed in the edge of ditch 205 and recorded but were not excavated.
3.2.10 The three layers to the south, however, which were sampled in a 1 m square test pit at the south end of the trench, provided possible evidence of the abandonment and demolition of the villa (although none about its construction). A thin layer of yellow brown sandy loam (203), 0.08 m thick, overlay green-brown clay loam (206), 0.12 m thick, containing relatively large quantities of domestic refuse. This layer capped an irregular mortar surface (207), possibly a demolition layer, containing further
domestic refuse. A basal layer of green grey sandy clay (208), approximately 0.20 m thick, overlay the natural gravel.

Trench 2A
3.2.11 Trench 2A lay southeast of Trench 2 in the same garden plot and was positioned to relocate and trace the extent and alignment of a fragment of flint wall foundation discovered accidentally during the erection of the property boundary fence. The excavation, which measured 3 m east-west by 1.6 m north-south, revealed the wall (213) immediately beneath the turf. It measured 0.50 m wide and extended 2 m west from the fence before turning north. It was constructed of unmodified flint nodules, approximately 0.10 m long, in a compact yellow mortar. No attempt was made, in the time available, to establish the depth of the wall foundation.

## Trench 4 (Figure 5)

3.2.12 A machine dug trench, 16 m long and 1 m wide was dug in the garden of 13 Tranmere Grove. It was aligned north-south and was positioned to investigate an area of high resistance recorded in the south of the plot, as well as to provide a long transect across much of the main block of the villa.
3.2.13 The excavation revealed that this part of the site had also been heavily robbed, as well as lying within an area of previous excavation. Many of these previous interventions could not be dated accurately, although they probably include some dug in antiquity when the site provided a source of building stone, and other more recent investigations by antiquarians and early archaeologists (such as feature 424). Some, such as 430 and 444, were cut from either immediately or just below the present topsoil through deposits (407, 423 and 443) containing modern material, including metal sheeting, into features that had already been robbed.
3.2.14 At the north end of the evaluation trench, there was a recently backfilled robber trench (426), possibly the product of 'wall chasing' by Brown or other early excavators. It was 0.60 m deep and approximately 1.5 m wide at the base, although it had been extended north by a further 0.80 m where, on the east side, it cut through a layer of Roman demolition rubble (427, below). Its position corresponds approximately with the line of a former wall shown on Brown's site plan, and its east-west alignment reflected the general orientation of other wall lines attributed to the villa.
3.2.15 In the south side of this feature was exposed the entrance to a channel hypocaust (448), the western side of its stoke hole being marked by a stack of mortared tiles (438), and the natural clay bedrock (428) near its mouth being heavily heat-affected. There was a deposit of ashy material (439) from the stoke hole on the east side of the evaluation trench, and this was partly overlain by a layer of large flint nodules and Roman tile fragments (427) extending to the north, which may relate to the demolition of the east wall of the hypocaust.
3.2.16 The hypocaust itself, which was cut 0.2 m through subsoil into the natural clay, was approximately 0.60 m wide with a mortared floor defined by two parallel walls, 0.20 m across. The wall exposed on the east side (418) was constructed of mortared flint nodules. The hypocaust extended 2 m southwards to a robbed wall trench (447), and its fill, which was not removed, comprised light grey brown sandy silt (417), with mixed flecks of mortar, and pieces of flint and tile. Traces of two clay floors,
each 0.05 m thick and both heat affected, were preserved over the hypocaust wall on the west side. The lower floor (416/419), a light yellow brown with patches of pink, was separated from the upper (421) by a thin ( 0.03 m ) layer of burnt material (406), which contained seven Roman coins of mid to late $4^{\text {th }}$ century AD.
3.2.17 The wall at the south end of the hypocaust had been robbed, possibly in antiquity, the series of deposits in the area suggesting several phases of robbing activity (447 and 442), as well as being re-excavated in recent times (444). It was not possible in the time available to fully excavate these deposits in order to establish the precise relationships between the different phases of robbing.
3.2.18 Immediately to the south, a possible corridor, 2 m wide and defined by fragments of two walls (440 and 432), ran east-west through the apparent centre of the villa. On its north side, wall 440 (in wall trench 441) had been partially robbed and survived only 0.35 m wide and 0.19 m deep. It comprised approximately four courses of mortared chalk or limestone blocks. Wall 432, to the south, had been 'sectioned' in a previous excavation and survived only on a narrow 'baulk' across the excavated wall trench (430), where it was 0.56 m wide, 0.25 m deep and constructed of flint.
3.2.19 The construction trenches for these walls were cut through the subsoil to the surface of the natural clay. A veneer of charcoal-rich silt (422), 0.03 m thick, which overlay the subsoil, was preserved in remnant patches between the robber trenches and excavations, but all stratigraphic relationships with the walls had been destroyed by previous activity, so that it could not be determined how the burning related to the phasing of the villa. (A similar layer of burning was found to the east in Trench 10, below.) The apparent absence of any occupation, construction or demolition material beneath the burning suggests that it may relate to Brown's phase of timber buildings, which preceded the construction of the stone villa.
3.2.20 The east end of wall 432 intersected, at the edge of the excavation, with a heavily robbed wall trench (435) aligned approximately north-south. Only remnant fragments of mortar and flint (436) of this major structural wall survived at the base of the wall trench, which was 0.57 m below the surface of wall 432 .
3.2.21 The south end of the evaluation trench crossed an area of high resistance detected in the geophysical survey. The excavation showed that the anomaly represented a feature approximately 4 m across (408), possibly a cellar or a large pit, the limited investigation at its south end suggesting a depth of least 2 m . The lower fill (434), most of which was not removed, comprised mid brown silty clay mixed with demolition rubble including tile, mortar and stone fragments. The upper fill (407) was of a similar composition, but contained less rubble and may have been redeposited backfilled material from a former excavation; the upper layer extended beyond the feature in both directions and was cut by robber trench/excavation 430 .
3.2.2 An additional shallow ditch (402), which cut layer 407, ran approximately east-west, but at a slight angle to the axis of the villa, at the south end of the trench. It measured 0.70 m across and 0.35 m deep with moderately sloping sides and a flat base, and was filled with mid brown garden loam (403) with fragments of pot and tile. There was no demolition rubble to suggest that it represented the previous excavation of a robbed wall line.

## Trench 4A

3.2.23 A small trench, approximately 2 m long and 1 m wide, was dug by machine to the east of the southern part of Trench 4, in the same garden plot, in order to attempt to establish the eastern extent of cellar/pit 408 (above). This was not found. Instead, the excavation revealed 0.81 m of disturbed ground, similar to that capping Trench 4, above the natural clay. Two clay filled pits, 409 and 411 , were exposed cutting the natural in the base of the trench. Pit 409 measured approximately 0.70 m in diameter and was 0.55 m deep with sloping sides and a rounded base. It was cut by pit 411, most of which lay under the baulk on the south side.

## Trench 8 (Figure 6)

3.2.24 A small area excavation, 4 m north-south and 3 m east-west, was stripped by machine in the garden of 7 Tranmere Grove to locate any evidence of the eastern part of the villa, or to define alternative Roman activity beyond its eastern side. The results showed a low level of archaeological activity. A slightly curving ditch (803), 0.45 m wide and 0.47 deep with steep sides and a rounded base, was revealed immediately below the topsoil. It was aligned approximately north-south, curving slightly eastwards towards the north, and was filled with mid brown silty soil containing Roman pot, tile and bone. It was cut into an underlying well sorted, moderately compact, dark brown gravely silt ploughsoil/subsoil (804) which produced Roman pot, hypocaust tile, tile and bone. This layer sealed a second ditch (806), 1m west of and parallel to ditch 803 . This was 0.43 m wide and 0.20 m deep with a similar, but shallower, profile to ditch 803 and a similar fill. It contained fragments of Roman pottery of $1^{\text {st }}-2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD date.
3.2.25 The function of these ditches is unclear, although they are more likely to represent boundary ditches rather than timber beam slot foundations. The presence of early Roman pottery adds weight to Brown's conclusion that occupation on the site dates from the $1^{\text {st }}$ century AD. Ditch 803 is assumed to be of Roman date, although there is no way of knowing whether the feature is intrusive and contains residual material. The absence of covering deposits may indicate that the surface has been truncated by ploughing or landscaping during house construction.

Trench 9
3.2.26 This 1 m wide machine-excavated trench in the lawn of 11 Tranmere Grove measured 3 m east-west and was positioned in an attempt to locate walls in the eastern part of the Roman villa. A modern soak-away was discovered beneath the topsoil and the trench was abandoned.

## Trench 10

3.2.27 Another 1 m wide machine-dug trench, measuring 4.5 m east-west, was positioned spanning the gardens of 11 and 13 Tranmere Grove, between Trenches 4 and 9, again with the purpose of locating walls in the eastern part of the Roman villa. Approximately 0.40 m of topsoil and subsoil overlay a series of well-preserved, in situ layers apparently relating to the demolition of the villa.
3.2.28 The east edge of a feature (1004), which was thought to be a robber trench, was aligned north-south across the evaluation trench, the west edge being not visible. It turned east (1005) and ran parallel to the north edge of the evaluation trench. The upper fills of these features $(1003,1006)$ were dark brown with quantities of ceramic building material, mortar and possible fragments of opus signinum or plaster. Layer

1003, in feature 1004, overlay a similar deposit (1008), containing large quantities of tile, brick and charcoal that appears to have been derived from a collapsed roof that had burnt in situ. The west end of the evaluation trench was also characterised by very dark brown/black material with areas of red fired clay. A zone of alternating thin bands of charcoal and fired clay suggested that this part of the evaluation trench contained evidence of a collapsed wattle and daub wall (1009).
3.2.29 The quality of the preserved deposits in this evaluation trench, their rarity elsewhere on the site and the small size of the excavated area made it preferable to restrict the excavation and to preserve the deposits for more detailed systematic future research. The evaluation trench was therefore photographed, described and backfilled, sealing the collapsed wall beneath a layer of sand.

## Chesterfield Drive

3.2.30 Four evaluation trenches were located in lawns to the rear of properties in Chesterfield Drive. They were positioned in order to examine the possibility that the villa might extend to the south. However, no evidence for the villa was found and very little additional archaeological evidence recovered.

## Trench 3

3.2.31 This machine excavated trench, 4 m long aligned north-south and 1.8 m wide, was excavated in the lawn of 14 Chesterfield Drive in order to investigate a strong linear geophysical anomaly that was thought might mark the line of an east wing of the villa. However, no archaeological features and no evidence of any wing was present.
3.2.32 The topsoil horizon overlay a grey-brown silty clay subsoil, 0.50 m thick, which became increasingly more gravely and lighter in colour towards the natural gravel $(303)$ at the base. The subsoil $(302,304)$ showed no clear stratification, although a horizon containing fragments of Roman roof tile and pottery was clearly present near the base. The natural gravel (303) at the base of the trench included linear structures containing chalk. It is likely that these geological features represent periglacial involutions within the upper part of the gravel and may in some way be responsible for the strong geophysical anomalies detected in the survey, although they occurred at the limit of the geophysical detection (Gater pers. comm.).

## Trench 5

3.2.33 A hand dug trench, 3 m long aligned east-west and 1 m wide, in the lawn of 18 Chesterfield Drive produced a similar stratigraphic sequence to that recorded in Trench 3 , comprising topsoil (501) overlying a subsoil (502), 0.58 m thick, which lay on natural gravel (503). No archaeological features were recorded.

## Trench 6

3.2.34 To the north of Trench 5 in the same garden plot, a trench of similar dimensions was aligned approximately northeast-southwest. Again, the stratigraphic sequence was almost identical, although a lens of refuse, 0.03 m thick containing Roman tile, animal bone and oyster shell extended from the baulk across the southwest end of the trench.

## Trench 7

3.2.35 A machine dug trench, approximately 3 m long aligned north-south and 1 m wide, was excavated to the rear of 32 Chesterfield Drive, at the location marked by the

Ordnance Survey as 'Roman Villa (Site of)'. The section revealed, below the topsoil (701), a layer of yellow brown sandy silt subsoil (702), 0.25 m thick, capping a large void filled with modern rubbish. This intrusion had penetrated and removed any archaeological stratigraphy and the trench was abandoned.

## 4 FINDS

4.1.1 Finds were recovered from eight of the ten trenches excavated; no finds were recovered from Trenches 7 or 9 . The assemblage comprises mainly bulk finds, with a smaller proportion of individually recorded Objects ('small finds'), mainly metalwork. All finds have been cleaned (with the exception of the metalwork) and have been quantified by material type within each context. There is also a register of individual Objects. Quantified data form the primary finds archive for the site and these data are summarised by trench in Table 1.
4.1.2 Subsequent to quantification, all finds have been at least visually scanned in order to gain an overall idea of the range of types present, their condition, and their potential date range. Pottery and ceramic building material have been subjected to more formal scanning, including quantification by ware group/type (details below). Spot dates have been recorded for selected material types as appropriate. All finds data are currently held on an Excel spreadsheet.
4.1.3 This section presents an overview of the finds assemblage, on which is based an assessment of the potential of this assemblage to contribute to an understanding of the site in its local and regional context. The assemblage is largely of RomanoBritish date (late AD $1^{\text {st }}$ to $4^{\text {th }}$ century), with small quantities of prehistoric and postRoman material).

Table 1: Finds totals by material type (number/weight in grammes)

| Material Type | Tr 1 | Tr 2 | Tr 3 | Tr 4 | Tr 5 | Tr 6 | Tr 8 | Tr 10 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pottery <br> see Table 2 for <br> detail | $16 / 108$ | $67 / 705$ | $4 / 50$ | $34 / 277$ | $11 / 57$ | $23 / 250$ | $9 / 104$ | $1 / 17$ | $166 / 1568$ |
| CBM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $R$ R-B | $360 / 35571$ | $139 / 7807$ | $16 / 956$ | $137 / 21664$ | $22 / 845$ | $66 / 5278$ | $8 / 2058$ | $81 / 24686$ | $829 / 98865$ |
| Post-med | $349 / 34850$ | $138 / 7803$ | $16 / 956$ | $137 / 21664$ | $19 / 794$ | $65 / 5267$ | $8 / 2058$ | $81 / 24686$ | $813 / 98078$ |
| Opus signinum | $11 / 721$ | $1 / 4 / 1056$ | $1 / 18$ | - | - | - | $2 / 29$ | - | - |
| $1 / 2 / 787$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wall plaster | $2 / 1271$ | $1 / 25$ | - | $5 / 175$ | - | - | - | - | $16 / 1103$ |
| Mortar | $6 / 687$ | $3 / 150$ | - | $10 / 1234$ | - | $12 / 540$ | - | $2 / 65$ | $10 / 1536$ |
| Clay pipe | $1 / 1$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $8 / 1370$ |
| Worked flint | $2 / 100$ | - | - | - | $2 / 11$ | - | - | - | $1 / 1$ |
| Glass | $2 / 15$ | $10 / 96$ | - | - | $16 / 96$ | $5 / 56$ | - | - | $4 / 111$ |
| Stone | $2 / 1255$ | $14 / 347$ | - | $1 / 197$ | - | $1 / 1671$ | $2 / 208$ | - | $33 / 263$ |
| Shale | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $20 / 3678$ |
| Metalwork | 13 | 6 | 2 | 52 | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Coins | 2 | - | 1 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 79 |
| Cu alloy | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| Lead | - | - | - | 31 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Iron | 11 | 6 | - | 13 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 32 |
| Unid. metal | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |  |
| Animal bone | $16 / 360$ | $12 / 140$ | - | $22 / 300$ | $1 / 30$ | $47 / 831$ | $7 / 90$ | $2 / 110$ | $107 / 1861$ |
| Shell | $31 / 1199$ | $6 / 133$ | - | $4 / 105$ | $1 / 30$ | $31 / 694$ | - | - | $73 / 2161$ |

### 4.2 Pottery

4.2.1 Pottery and coins provide the primary dating evidence for the site. The overwhelming majority of the pottery assemblage consists of Romano-British
material, but there are also very small quantities of later prehistoric and postmedieval sherds. The whole assemblage has been quantified, within each context, by broad ware group or known type (e.g. coarse greywares, samian). The presence of identifiable vessel forms and other diagnostic features has been recorded, along with spot dates. Summary totals by ware group are presented in Table 2. Details by trench and context are presented in Appendix 1.

Table 2: Pottery by ware type (number of sherds/weight in grammes)

| Ware group | Tr 1 | Tr 2 | Tr 3 | Tr 4 | Tr 5 | Tr 6 | Tr 8 | Tr 10 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PREHISTORIC (Iron Age?) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quartz/flinttempered | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1/10 | - | 1/10 |
| ROMANO-BRITISH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Samian | - | 1/14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1/14 |
| Colchester samian | - | - | - | 1/21 | - | - | - | - | 1/21 |
| Amphora (Class 50?) | - | - | - | 1/21 | - | - | - | - | 1/21 |
| Amphora (Gaulish) | - | - | - | 1/18 | - | - | - | - | 1/18 |
| Coarse greyware | 11/74 | 41/251 | 2/20 | 28/200 | 4/22 | 11/94 | 8/94 | 1/17 | 106/7 |
| Hadham oxidised ware | 1/2 | 1/6 | 1/4 | - | - | 1/25 | - | - | 4/39 |
| Fine white-firing | - | 2/7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2/7 |
| Shell-tempered ware | - | - | - | - | - | 2/2 | - | - | 2/2 |
| Colchester colour-coated | - | 2/5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2/5 |
| Nene Valley colour-coated | - | 3/5 | - | 1/12 | - | 8/114 | - | - | 12/13 |
| Trier blackslipped ware | - | - | - | 1/2 | - | - | - | - | 1/2 |
| POST-ROMAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coarse redwares | 3/28 | 4/289 | - | - | 1/9 | - | - | - | 8/326 |
| Industrial wares | 1/4 | 13/128 | 1/26 | 1/3 | 6/26 | 2/15 | - | - | 24/20 |
| TOTAL | 16/108 | 67/705 | 4/50 | 34/277 | 11/57 | 24/250 | 9/104 | 1/17 | 166/1 |

## Prehistoric

4.2.2 The assemblage included a single prehistoric sherd in a coarse sand-tempered fabric with flint and quartz inclusions, probably dating to the Early or Middle Iron Age.

## Romano-British

4.2.3 The Romano-British assemblage consists of 133 sherds weighing 1032 grammes and is dominated by coarse greywares. The few diagnostic sherds present suggest a late Roman date. These include a small necked globular bowl similar to a type found in fourth century levels at Portchester and a number of late black-burnished derived forms. A proportion of the greywares are probably products of the Hadham kilns, manufactured alongside a range of oxidised, generally red-slipped, wares at Little Hadham and Much Hadham in Hertfordshire from the mid AD $3^{\text {rd }}$ century but distributed more widely during the $4^{\text {th }}$ century. Four sherds of Hadham oxidised ware were recovered. The two small sherds of rilled South Midlands shell-tempered ware, produced at Harrold (Beds.) and possibly Lakenheath (Suffolk), would also have reached the site during the $4{ }^{\text {th }}$ century.
4.2.4 The small range of finewares provides evidence for somewhat earlier activity on the site. Colchester colour-coated ware and Trier black-slipped ware ('Moselkeramik') were in production up to the mid to late $3^{\text {rd }}$ century, and Nene Valley colour-coated ware up to the end of the $4^{\text {th }}$ century. The two amphora sherds, one from Gaul, the other unsourced, could also belong to a $3^{\text {rd }}$ century phase of occupation. The single Colchester samian vessel (a copy of a Drag 35 cup), however, is the product of an industry which operated only during the $2^{\text {nd }}$ century and a Central Gaulish samian sherd is of similar or earlier date.

## Post-medieval

4.2.5 The remaining 32 sherds are of post-medieval date and comprise coarse redwares, which are not closely datable within the post-medieval period, and modern industrial wares ( $19^{\text {th }} / 20^{\text {th }}$ century).

### 4.3 Ceramic building material (CBM)

4.3.1 This category includes fragments of brick and tile. The assemblage has been quantified by type within each context, and this information is summarised by trench in Table 3.

Table 3: CBM assemblage by type (number/weight in grammes)

| Type | Tr 1 | Tr 2 | Tr 3 | Tr 4 | Tr 5 | Tr 6 | Tr 8 | Tr 10 | TOTAL |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROMANO-BRITISH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Misc. brick | $24 / 5287$ | $3 / 1079$ | $1 / 165$ | $13 / 7663$ | $2 / 109$ | $5 / 969$ | $2 / 1394$ | $5 / 2062$ | $55 / 18728$ |  |
| Brick/tile | $16 / 1129$ | $6 / 149$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | $22 / 1278$ |  |
| Misc. tile | 180.14404 | $58 / 3089$ | $12 / 596$ | $59 / 5725$ | $13 / 508$ | $31 / 1562$ | $2 / 143$ | $34 / 7049$ | $389 / 33,076$ |  |
| Flue | $6 / 1061$ | - | - | $6 / 994$ | $1 / 16$ | $1 / 266$ |  | $2 / 461$ | $16 / 2798$ |  |
| Imbrex | $41 / 4573$ | $20 / 1394$ | $3 / 195$ | $24 / 2238$ | $1 / 24$ | $23 / 1935$ | $4 / 521$ | $18 / 4687$ | $134 / 15567$ |  |
| Tegula | $35 / 7116$ | $10 / 1130$ | - | $23 / 4720$ | $1 / 112$ | $3 / 492$ | - | $22 / 10427$ | $94 / 23997$ |  |
| Tessera | $47 / 1280$ | $41 / 962$ | - | $12 / 324$ | $1 / 25$ | $2 / 43$ | - | - | $103 / 2634$ |  |
| POST-MEDIEVAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pantile | $2 / 238$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $2 / 238$ |  |
| Brick | $9 / 483$ | $1 / 4$ | - | - | $3 / 51$ | $1 / 11$ | - | - | $14 / 549$ |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 6 0 / 3 5 5 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9 / 7 8 0 7}$ |

4.3.2 The overwhelming majority of the fragments are of Romano-British date, and include identifiable imbrex and tegula roof tiles, box flue tiles and tesserae, the latter re-used from tiles. No attempt has been made at detailed fabric analysis at this stage, but the scan showed that several visually distinct fabric types are present, presumably indicative of the exploitation of more than one source for the CBM.
4.3.3 A small quantity of post-medieval CBM was also recovered, mainly from topsoil contexts, comprising modern brick fragments, and two pantiles.

### 4.4 Opus signinum, mortar and wall plaster

4.4.1 Other building material was recovered in the form of opus signinum (concrete-like substance used to line walls, floors and tanks), wall plaster and the mortar backing to the plaster. The wall plaster includes monochrome white and red fragments as well as two polychrome fragments. There is insufficient evidence on which to base any reconstruction of colour schemes or designs.

### 4.5 Coins

4.5.1 Ten coins were found ranging in date from the late $1^{\text {st }}$ century BC to the late $\mathrm{AD} 4^{\text {th }}$ century. The earliest of the coins (Object 2) is of potin (a tin rich copper alloy) and although of Late Iron Age date could have circulated into the Roman period - but certainly not much after AD 64 . The remainder of the coins are copper alloy - all but one (Object 3 ) types dating from the mid to late $4^{\text {th }}$ century AD. The exception is a fine but worn sertertius of Faustina the Younger (wife of Marcus Aurelius) struck between AD 161 and 180.
4.5.2 Table 4 provides a basic spot date for each of the coins, listed in order of Object number;

Table 4: Table of spot-dated coins

| Obj. | Cxt. | Denomination, issuer and type | Issue date | Mint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 101 | Æ3 Nummus, Gloria Exercitvs (1 standard) type | AD 335-341 | Siscia |
| 2 | 101 | Cast potin unit, Class II | late $1^{\text {st }}$ cent BC early $1^{\text {st }}$ cent $A D$ |  |
| 3 | 301 | Sestertius of Faustina the Younger, Fecvnditas SC type | AD 161-180 | Rome |
| 6 | 406 | Æ3 Nummus, Gloria Exercitvs (2 standards) type | AD 330-335 |  |
| 7 | 406 | Æ3 Nummus, Vrbs Roma type | AD 330-335 | Trier |
| 8 | 406 | Æ4 Nummus, uncertain type. Possible copy. | mid-late $4^{\text {th }}$ cent AD |  |
| 9 | 406 | Æ4 Nummus, poss Victoria AvgGg type | Late $4^{\text {th }}$ cent AD |  |
| 10 | 406 | Æ3 Nummus of Constantine I (AD 306-37), uncertain type | AD 330-337 |  |
| 11 | 406 | Æ3 Nummus, Gloria Exercitvs (1 standard) type | AD 335-341 |  |
| 12 | 406 | Æ3 Nummus, CONSTANTINOPOLIS type | AD 330-335 | Trier |

### 4.6 Metalwork

4.6.1 Other metalwork includes objects of copper alloy (2), lead (32) and iron (34). None are typologically distinctive, and none are of definite Romano-British date; most if not all are likely to be post-medieval. Identifiable objects include iron nails and other structural items, and a possible copper alloy buckle fragment. The lead consists entirely of waste/offcuts. All the metalwork has been X-radiographed and this record is contained within the project archive.

### 4.7 Other artefacts

4.7.1 Other artefacts recovered, all in small quantities, comprise vessel glass (one small Romano-British fragment from 603, with the rest being modern bottle/jar and window glass), clay pipe stem fragment (post-medieval), and worked flint flakes (two prehistoric, two possibly from Romano-British or later walling). The two pieces of shale were both from armlets, worn on the wrist or arm of adults and children alike as personal ornaments (jewellery). Both are plain, D-shaped in crosssection and were made using a lathe, most probably in the Wareham/Poole Harbour region of Dorset. Although both almost certainly belong within the Roman period (AD $1^{\text {st }}-4^{\text {th }}$ centuries), they cannot be dated any more precisely.

### 4.8 Animal bone

4.8.1 The potential of the assemblage to provide information about husbandry patterns, population structures and consumption practices was ascertained from the number of bones that could give information on the age and sex of animals, butchery, burning
and breakage patterns. The numbers of bone that could provide metrical information were also counted. The extent of mechanical or chemical attrition to the bone surface was recorded, with 1 indicating poor condition, 2 fair and 3 good. The numbers of gnawed bone were also noted. Conjoining fragments that were demonstrably from the same bone were counted as one bone in order to minimise distortion. No fragments were recorded as 'medium mammal' or 'large mammal'; these were instead consigned to the unidentified category.
4.8.2 Of the fifteen contexts from which animal bones were recovered, only four could be dated to the occupation of the villa ( $406,602,603$ and 604 ), and another three to the period immediately after the villa was abandoned (203, 206 and 208). The remainder comprised robber trenches, topsoil and the fill of robber trenches. Unfortunately numbers were not large enough to enable phases or feature types to be assessed separately in any detail.

## Condition and taphonomy

4.8.3 A total of 107 animal bones were recovered, of which 45 (42\%) could be identified. Of the identified bone, a fairly high proportion, $56 \%$ ( 25 bones) was from the occupation layers and 7\% ( 3 bones) from the period of abandonment.
4.8.4 $83 \%$ of the fragments were in fair condition (some abrasion or root etching present), and $17 \%$ were in poor condition (bone surface obscured). Gnawing was noted on 17 bones ( $16 \%$ ). The assemblage is therefore in reasonably good condition.

Table 5: Species present and numbers

|  | Abandonment | Occupation | Redeposited <br> or robbed out | Total | \% of identified <br> fragments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bos (ox) | 1 | 6 | 9 | 16 | 36 |
| Equus (horse) | - | 7 | - | 7 | 16 |
| Cervid (deer) | - | 4 | 2 | 6 | 13 |
| Sus (pig) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 13 |
| Aves (bird) | - | 4 | 2 | 6 | 13 |
| Ovicaprid (sheep/goat) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 9 |
| Total | 3 | 25 | 17 | 45 | 100 |

## Animal husbandry

4.8.5 Cattle were the most commonly represented species, followed by horse (Table 5). Pig, deer and bird (probably chicken) were also present, as were sheep/goat to a lesser extent. There were no positive identifications of goat. Deer were represented by postcranial elements, indicating that they were exploited for meat. In addition, numerous small mammal bones and some fish bones and scales have been recovered from the bulk samples. The full range of animals were found in the occupation deposits, suggesting a relatively wide range of species were exploited, and that the robber contexts probably contain mainly redeposited Roman material, thus they have not distorted the species list.
4.8.6 The potential for reconstructing husbandry practice is limited: only 17 bones ( $16 \%$ ) provided evidence for age, one ( $1 \%$ ) had pathological bone growth and $8(7 \%)$ could provide useful metrical data.
4.8.7 Five bones (5\%) had been marked by chops or showed evidence of fracture whilst fresh for marrow extraction, but there is very little that can be said about consumption and deposition from such a small sample.

### 4.9 Marine shell

4.9.1 The small quantity of shell consists entirely of oyster, and includes both left and right valves, i.e. both preparation and consumption waste.

## 5 PALAEO-ENVIRONMENTAL EVIDENCE

5.1.1 A single bulk sample of 28 litres was taken from burnt layer 406 probably dating, on coin evidence, to the $4^{\text {th }}$ century AD. The sample was processed for the recovery and assessment of charred plant remains and charcoals to see if this could help understand the burning event.
5.1.2 The bulk sample was processed by standard flotation methods. The flot was retained on a $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ mesh and the residues fractionated into $5.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 1 mm fractions and dried. The coarse fractions ( $>5.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were sorted, weighed and discarded. The flot was scanned under a x10-x30 stereo-binocular microscope and presence of charred remains quantified in order to present data to record the preservation and nature of the charred plant and charcoal remains.
5.1.3 Relatively little charred plant material other than wood charcoal was recovered. Most of the remains were fragments of hazelnut shell (Corylus avellana). Two cotyledons of pea/bean were also found (Pisum sativum/Vicia faba) more probably the former than latter. No other remains were present. Charcoal was noted from the flot. Land snails were also noted. About 50 plus shells were present, and covered open country, Helicella itala and Vallonia spp.; shaded conditions, Carychium spp., Discus rotundatus, Oxychilus/Aegopinella spp. and catholic species, Cochlicopa spp. Small mammal bones, fish bones, as well as scales, were also noted.
5.1.4 The charred plant remains are unusual in some respects in that cereal remains are totally absent. The presence of only hazelnut remains, would tend to indicate that either cereals were brought to the site fully processed e.g. as cleaned grain ready for milling, flour or perhaps bread/barley or that such activities were absent from the site. The presence of hazelnuts does indicate the probable utilisation of this species. Hazelnuts have been collected for food on a reasonable scale since prehistoric times; their presence may indicate their use as a snack food.

## 6 CONCLUSIONS AND POTENTIAL

6.1.1 The archaeological evaluation at Castle Hill offered an opportunity to re-examine the site of a Roman villa that was known to be larger and wealthier than any others yet known from Suffolk. The previous understanding of the site is based largely on poorly conducted excavations in the first half of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century, although even by then the building had been subject to considerable disturbance and stone robbing, including $19^{\text {th }}$ century antiquarian investigations that had revealed mosaics. Brown's
unfinished 1948-50 excavations indicated that the building was occupied mainly during the $3^{\text {rd }}$ to $4^{\text {th }}$ centuries AD , but with its origins in at least the early $2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD , and with finds indicating $1^{\text {st }}$ century activity on the site.
6.1.2 The evaluation sought to define more precisely the extent, location and character of the villa, to add to the understanding of its structural development, and of its place within the local and regional economy, and to assess the nature and condition of the surviving archaeological deposits in order to inform future decisions on the management and development of the site.
6.1.3 Attempts to trace the extent of the villa using geophysical survey were largely unsuccessful. So was the attempted matching of archaeological features recorded in the trenches with Brown's plan of the building, due mainly to the plan's apparent inaccuracy and the likelihood that Brown had failed to record the positions of all walls. However, the evaluation appeared to confirm Brown's conclusion that the building consisted of a single range, there being no indications in any of the trenches that the villa had wings at its east and west ends.
6.1.4 Dating evidence, provided by coins and pottery, have provided additional information on the chronology and nature of the site, although it should be noted that most of coins came from a single context (406), the layer of burnt material between the floors above the channel hypocaust in Trench 4. Moreover, the dating potential of the pottery is limited by the small size of the assemblage, and by the predominance of coarsewares, most of which are not closely datable. Nonetheless, the finds confirm that the site was occupied from the $1^{\text {st }}$ to $4^{\text {th }}$ century AD , with the most concentrated activity occurring between the late $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ century $A D$, a phase broadly contemporary with the use of the bathhouse and aisled building uncovered to the south by the Suffolk Archaeological Unit in 1989.
6.1.5 However, the quantity and range of other material types was not great, and because of the disturbed nature of many of the contexts their potential for further analysis is correspondingly limited. Some structural information can be gained from the ceramic and stone building material, but insufficient to warrant further analysis, and no evidence was found for on-site activities such as grain processing or textile making, etc.
6.1.6 Although the structure of the animal bone assemblage from disturbed contexts (such as robber trenches and the backfill from earlier excavations) may have been distorted by fragmentation and modern additions, it probably reflects the species present in securely dated Roman deposits, and the exploitation of a range of species is interesting and suggests a high status site. However, the small size of the assemblage means that the potential for reconstructing aspects of animal utilisation, such as kill patterns, breed types or butchery practices, is very limited. A quantity of small mammal bones, the majority of them possibly from a single rabbit, were recovered from burnt layer 406 in Trench 4. Unless there is evidence of post-depositional bioturbation, these date to the period of the villa's occupation, but they have no potential for indicating the surrounding environment. The small number of fish bones from the same context could be from a local source or could indicate trade.
6.1.7 In general, the range of finds recovered is consistent with that expected from a relatively high status site, supplied with goods from various local, regional and continental sources.
6.1.8 The environmental materials recovered provide data also relating to the same single context (406), and the presence of moderate quantities of charcoal may enable the nature of the burning to be determined. The small amount of charred plant remains, however, leaves little potential for further investigation. The land snails present are typical of open and garden habitats, and there is little potential for them to add any further significant information.
6.1.9 The evaluation has provided a good indication as to the current condition of the monument and level of preservation of the surviving archaeological deposits. While it is clear that the site has been damaged both by stone robbing in antiquity and by the previous excavations, archaeological deposits survive at three levels.
6.1.10 Firstly, there are small areas of intact deposit, these increasing in frequency towards the eastern end of the villa; for instance, parts of the floor level appear to have been preserved in Trench 4. However, these are limited in extent and depth, some of them having been reduced to small patches of material with no stratigraphic relationship to other contexts or to the structure of the building. There is limited surviving masonry in Trenches 2A and 4 (although the full depth of foundations were not established) reflecting the fact that the site has been extensively robbed, and suggesting also that the upper levels may have been truncated by ploughing or landscaping. Other surviving deposits included a small area of a channel hypocaust, and some well preserved burnt levels that appear to relate to the demolition of one phase of the villa. As the stone robbing cut through these layers it is not possible to be sure whether construction levels also cut through them.
6.1.11 Secondly, some of the site contains areas of intact robbed deposits, although the evaluation did not attempt to examine much of this material, and it has been impossible to date any of the robbing episodes.
6.1.12 Finally, there are deposits resulting from previous excavations of the site, both recorded and potentially unrecorded. Large parts of the upper levels of the site were covered by this material, including most of the upper levels of Trench 4.
6.1.13 On the basis of the small sample of the site evaluated, and the lack of reliable results from the previous excavations, the above conclusions are necessarily provisional. There remain significant areas of uncertainty as to the precise location of the features uncovered by Brown, the layout and extent of the villa, and the history of construction and development on the site over four centuries. However, providing answers to these questions has certainly been aided by the identification of significant features, deposits, and finds. Combined with a better understanding of the current condition of the site, this will facilitate the future systematic research and management of an important site.

## 7 FURTHER RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1.1 The project has been successful in provide useful data on the survival and condition of the Roman villa at Castle Hill and some information towards confirming its development. Further detailed analysis of all the results of this project, however, are not considered to be appropriate in view of the limited excavation of stratified deposits, their disparate character and dispersed nature, and the limited size and potential of the finds and environmental data. Some limited further work is proposed, however, and is set out below.
7.1.2 A basic archive level of finds recording has already been achieved, and where appropriate this meets minimum recording standards (e.g. SGRP 1994).
7.1.3 Other proposed work concerns the requirements for long-term curation of the finds archive. Most of the artefacts are in a stable condition and have been packaged in accordance with national guidelines on the preservation of artefactual archives for long-term curation (e.g. UKIC 1983). The metalwork, however, is inherently unstable and this has certain implications for long-term curation. It is recommended that conservation treatment, involving partial or total cleaning by a skilled conservator, is undertaken for at least the majority of the coins.
7.1.4 While the environmental sample is of some interest, the general lack of other contextual evidence from the site suggests that there is little value at present in undertaking further analysis than that set out in this report. The results of this assessment should be noted for further work that may be conducted at this site.
7.1.5 This report will be deposited with the Suffolk Sites and Monuments Record so as to be available to future researchers. A summary report will be distilled from this report and published as a note in the Proceeding of the Suffolk Institute of Archaeology \& History.

## 8 THE ARCHIVE

8.1.1 The archive, which includes all artefacts, and all written, drawn and photographic records relating directly to the investigations undertaken, is currently held at the offices of Wessex Archaeology under the site code IPS421 and Wessex Archaeology project code 52568 . It is intended that, in accordance with the wishes of the landowner, the excavated material and records will eventually be deposited and curated at the Ipswich Museum, and a copy of the archive sent to Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service.
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## Appendix 1: Pottery records

| Tr./Cxt. | Fabric | Vessel type | No | Wt | ST | Dec/S | MT | Date / comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tr1/101 | Coarse greyware | Jar, everted rolled rim | 1 | 5 |  |  | WT | C2+ |
|  |  | Bead-rim dish | 1 | 7 |  |  | WT | C2+ |
|  |  | Bowl | 9 | 62 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  | Coarse redware |  | 3 | 28 |  |  | WT | Post-med |
|  | Industrial wares |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  | Post-med |
|  | Hadham oxidised | Bowl | 1 | 2 |  |  | WT | C3-C4 |
| Tr2/201 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 11 | 51 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  | Coarse redware |  | 4 | 289 |  |  | WT | Post-med |
|  | Industrial wares |  | 13 | 128 |  |  |  | Post-med |
| Tr2/203 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 14 | 90 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  | Hadham oxidised | Bowl | 1 | 6 |  |  | WT | C3-C4 |
|  | Nene Valley c-c | Decorated bowl | 2 | 4 | C-C | White barbotine | WT | C3-C4 |
| Tr2/204 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 4 | 28 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  |  | Jar | 1 | 7 |  |  | WT | C4? |
| Tr2/206 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 12 | 75 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  | Fine white ware | Bowl | 2 | 7 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  | Cent gaul samian | Footring base | 1 | 14 |  |  | MO | C1-C2 |
|  | Nene Valley c-c | Bowl | 1 | 1 | C-C |  | WT | C2-C4 |
|  | Colchester c-c | Bowl | 2 | 5 | C-C |  | WT | 120-late C3 |
| Tr3/301 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 1 | 6 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  |  | Straight-sided bowl | 1 | 14 |  |  | WT | C2+ |
|  | Industrial ware |  | 1 | 26 |  |  |  | Post-med |
| Tr3/302 | Hadham oxidised | Bowl | 1 | 4 |  |  | WT | C3-C4 |
| Tr4/401 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 7 | 38 |  |  | WT | RB / 3 probably Hadham |
|  | Nene Valley c-c | Bowl copying samian Drag 37 | 1 | 12 | C-C | Roulette | WT | C2-C4 |
| Tr4/403 | Coarse greyware | 1 bowl | 11 | 51 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  |  | Everted rim jars | 2 | 25 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  | Moselkeramik <br> black-slipped ware | Bowl | 1 | 2 | SL | Roulette | WT | 180-250 |
|  | Amphora, Gaulish | Bowl | 1 | 18 |  |  | WF | Early C1-C3 |
|  | Amphora, Class $50$ | Bowl | 1 | 21 |  |  | WF | C2-C3? |
| Tr4/406 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 5 | 34 |  |  | WT |  |
| Tr4/407 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 1 | 1 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  | Industrial ware |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | Post-med |
| Tr4/414 | Coarse greyware | Necked bowl (Fulford type 93) | 1 | 15 |  |  | WT | C4 |
| Tr4/425 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 1 | 29 |  |  | WT | $\mathrm{RB} /$ <br> possibly Alice Holt |
| Tr5/501 | Coarse redware |  | 1 | 9 |  |  | WT | Post-med |
|  | Industrial ware |  | 2 | 10 |  |  |  | Post-med |
| Tr5/502 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 4 | 22 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  | Industrial ware |  | 4 | 16 |  |  |  | Post-med |
| Tr6/601 | Nene Valley c-c | High flanged bowl | 1 | 10 | SL |  | WT | mid C2-C4 |
|  | Industrial ware |  | 1 | 12 |  |  |  | Post-med |


| Tr./Cxt. | Fabric | Vessel type | No | Wt | ST | Dec/S | MT | Date / comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tr6/602 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 7 | 47 |  |  | WT | RB / 2 probably Hadham |
|  | Shell-tempered | Bowl | 2 | 2 |  | Rilled | WT | C4/ South Midlands type (Harrold) |
|  | Hadham oxidised | Bowl | 1 | 25 | SL |  | WT | C3-C4 |
|  | Industrial ware |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | Post-med |
| Tr6/603 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 1 | 20 |  |  | WT | RB |
| Tr6/604 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 2 | 18 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  |  | Jar with everted, triangular rim | 1 | 9 |  |  | WT | Late RB? |
|  | Nene Valley c-c | Beaker base | 7 | 104 | C-C | Roulette + white paint | WT | C3-C4 |
| Tr8/802 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 6 | 82 |  |  | WT | RB |
|  | Flint-tempered | Bowl | 1 | 10 |  |  | HM | Later prehistoric (probably E-MIA) |
| Tr8/804 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 2 | 12 |  |  | WT | RB/ probably Hadham |
| Tr10/1007 | Coarse greyware | Bowl | 1 | 17 |  |  | WT | RB |
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