



A Romano-British rural site at Eaton Socon, Cambridgeshire

Specialist Report

Charred and Waterlogged Plant Remains

by Chris J. Stevens and Alan J. Clapham

THE CHARRED AND WATERLOGGED PLANT REMAINS

By Chris J. Stevens and Alan J. Clapham

A total of eight charred flots, six waterlogged samples and one mineralised deposit were analysed from Romano-British features. The charred remains were taken from ditches and pit fills, while the waterlogged remains were retrieved from two ditches and two watering hole fills. The mineralised deposit came from a pit (15) initially thought to be a grave, and while containing wood, produced fairly poorly preserved seeds. The flots were scanned using a low powered microscope for identifiable charred material which was identified and recorded in Table 1. The waterlogged material was sieved and occasionally split into smaller fractions (see Table 2, for volumes). Identifiable material was extracted, identified and quantified in Table 2, where necessary estimates were given for highly numerous seeds. The nomenclature used follows that of Stace (1997).

Charred cereal and other crop remains

Cereal remains were relatively sparse, always charred and often poorly preserved in the majority of the samples. The most common cereals were hulled wheats, mainly spelt wheat, *Triticum spelta*, although occasional glumes of emmer wheat, *T. dicoccum* were recovered. A few grains of free-threshing wheat remains, *Triticum aestivum sensu lato*, including one rachis fragment, were also identified. Evidence of barley, *Hordeum* sp. was on the whole less common within the samples.

With the exception of the sample from the rubbish pit 845, glumes and grain were represented in fairly equal numbers, and both were poorly preserved. Occasional remains of culms, culm nodes and basal culm fragments from cereals, grass or other monocots were found in the samples. While several seeds of oats, *Avena* sp. were found, the lack of florets prevented a distinction as to whether they were of the wild or cultivated variety.

Other potential crop species identified included degraded remains of the pea, *Pisium sativum*, and celtic bean, *Vicia faba*. The sample from pit 15 was mineralised, and contained seeds of other possible cultigens, including basil, *Ocimum* cf. *Basilicum*, and fennel, *Foeniculum vulgare*, although this may have been growing wild. While basil is known from classical Roman sources, it is rarely recovered from archaeobotanical deposits.

Charred weed species

The weed assemblage consisted mainly of seeds of species commonly associated with arable soils, orache (*Atriplex* sp.), goosefoot (*Chenopodium* sp.), mouse-eared chickweed (*Cerastium* sp.), black bindweed (*Fallopia convolvulus*), sheep's sorrel (*Rumex acetosella*), clustered/broad-leaved/wood dock (*Rumex conglomeratus/obtusifolius/sanguineus*), curled-leaved/northern dock (*Rumex* cf. *crispus/longifolius*), buttercups (*Ranunculus acris/bulbosus/repens*), vetches, tare (*Vicia* sp.), scentless mayweed (*Tripleurospermum inodorum*), stinking mayweed (*Anthemis cotula*), cleavers (*Galium aparine*), and capsule fragments of runch (*Raphanus raphanistrum*). Seeds of black horehound (*Ballota nigra*) and hemlock (*Conium maculatum*), species more commonly found in hedges, open woodland and waste ground were also recovered. The seeds of *Brassica* sp. may have come from the cultivated variety but are more probably of the wild variety growing as an arable weed.

In addition seeds were recovered of species that were probable common arable weeds in the past, that are today more frequently associated with grasslands. These are self-heal (*Prunella vulgaris*), plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*), clover (*Trifolium* sp.), black medick (*Medicago lupulina*), field pea (*Lathyrus* sp.), tormentil/cinquefoil (*Potentilla* sp.), perennial rye grass (*Lolium perenne*), meadow grass (*Poa trivialis/pratensis* type) and cat's tail's (*Phleum* sp.).

Seeds of wetland species, that are also common finds within charred samples, were also recovered and identified. While blinks (*Monitia fontana* subsp. *chondrosperma*), and spikerush (*Eleocharis* sp.) are certainly associated with wetland soils, it is probable that the seeds of sedge (*Carex* sp.) also come from species associated with such environments.

The arable economy

Charred remains have been most commonly associated with both crop remains and their accompanying weeds (Knözer 1971). Thus they are frequently considered to be representative of processing activities associated with the cleaning of the crop in order to extract pure grain for consumption (Hillman 1981; 1984; Jones 1985). In particular charred remains have been argued to come from the specific activities whereby crops are taken from storage and processed in piecemeal fashion, as and when needed, with the waste deposited straight on to the fire (Stevens 1996; cf. Hillman 1981). It is more highly probable that those charring events, occurring on a regular basis, will preserve crop remains rather than rarer of accidental events of the type noted by Hubbard and Clapham (1992, *Class A* and *B*).

If crops, especially hulled wheats, are stored in the spikelet, assemblages resulting from such routine activities are more likely to be glume rich, as the crops are pounded and the separated glume waste thrown onto the fire. Given the much higher destruction rate of glumes (Boardman and Jones 1990; cf. Robinson and Straker 1991), such samples may appear less glume rich than they originally were. Certainly the samples from the rubbish pit 845 and ring gully 80 appear to come from such activities, being richer in glumes than grain.

Most of the charred samples, with the exception of those from Enclosure 3 ditch 76 and pit 15 would appear to be associated with the routine processing of crops. They were probably taken from storage on a regular basis and pounded, in order to release the wheat grains from the tightly enclosing glumes, and then the waste was burnt. The nature in which such crops were consumed is more problematic, though crushing or grinding into cracked wheat/ flour would seem possible, although malting into beer could also have been undertaken.

Many samples also contained chaff fragments, but these would have been more frequent if the crop had been stored as ears. Given the poor quantity of material, compared to other assemblages, where grain and large weed seeds predominate (cf. Danebury; Jones 1984), it is likely that most of the material from Priors Gate was stored in a fairly uncleaned state. This would further imply that the inhabitants of this area of the site conducted most of the processing of their crops in a relatively small-scale piecemeal fashion, rather than storing them as semi-clean grain or spikelets.

The presence of seeds of several low growing species, including clover and plantain would suggest that the crops had been cut relatively close to the ground, probably with a sickle rather than scythe (Rees 1981). The presence of a few basal culm nodes suggests some uprooting of crops, although this would be inevitable as the

sickle gets blunter. Although it is speculative, it is probable that the crops may have been partially threshed and the bulk of the straw extracted for use by animals.

While the remains of perennial species were not common in the samples, the presence of species, such as plantain and spike rush suggest a relatively inefficient ploughing regime, as might be expected with arid cultivation. While the presence of wetland species might indicate the cultivation of wet to very wet soils, other species, such as, sheep's sorrel and plantain are more common on drier ground.

The appearance of mineralised seeds of *Thlaspi arvense*, indicative of drier sandier soils, is of some interest here. Given that mineralised deposits may contain seeds from sources other than arable cereal fields, other reasons may be forwarded for their presence. While they may have been growing close to the deposit, they are also absent from the waterlogged samples. A further possibility may be that they arrived on the site with the legumes, basil, or fennel, having grown as a weed amongst them. The other species of interest was stinking mayweed, which came from ditch 76. This species is commonly associated with the cultivation of clay soils, and tends to gain prominence only in the later Roman period onwards (Jones 1981; Stevens 1996; Jones 1986).

The extracted species point to the possible cultivation of a range of soils from open textured, acidic to circum-neutral soils, seen from the presence of blinks and sheep's sorrel, to the heavier soils represented by stinking mayweed and drier calcareous soils represented by plantain and black medick. Similarly the cultivation of wetter soils is indicated by the presence of spikerush and sedge. The extent of such soils within individual fields is difficult to determine.

The waterlogged deposits

Of the six samples examined for the extraction and identification of waterlogged remains, two came from ditches 76 and 128, and the remainder came the two waterlogged deposits in watering holes 81 and 99.

All the samples produced reasonable quantities of material that were frequently dominated by seeds of common nettle, *Urtica urens*. The other seeds in the deposits came from a variety of wetland, arable, grassland, waste, and of interest woodland habitats, possibly representative of hedge environments constructed from relict woodland.

Nettle dominated the sample from Phase 1 ditch 128, in association with high numbers of open ground, waste, and arable species, such as chickweed, *Stellaria media*. Smaller quantities of seed species commonly found in charred assemblages and hence representative of past arable fields were identified. These included sheep's sorrel and knotgrass (*Polygonum aviculare*), self-heal and clover). Of interest are two commonly recovered species, stinking mayweed, associated with the cultivation of heavier clay soils and blinks, which prefer wet, open textured, circum-neutral soils. In addition other species of open grasslands, wasteland and occasionally more shaded conditions were recovered, such as clustered dock (*Rumex conglomeratus*) cotton thistle (*Onopordum acanthium*) and white/red dead nettle (*Lamium album/purpure*). Also of interest were seeds of bur parsley (*Anthriscus caucalis*) which is common on sandy soils with open hedgebanks.

Woodland shrubs and trees were well represented by seeds of elder (*Sambucus nigra*), a few finds of an alder catkins and cones (*Alnus glutinosa*), several fruits and possible thorns of hawthorn (*Crataegus* sp.) and a fruit valve of willow (*Salix* sp.). A

single seed of dogwood (*Cornus sanguine*) a species common on base-rich clay soils was also recovered. However, other species associated with wooded or shaded hedge conditions were present, for example, greater stitchwort (*Stellaria holostea*), Saint Johns Wort, (*Hypericum* sp.) and deadly nightshade (*Atropa belladonna*).

Wetland and fen species present included marsh woundwort (*Stachys palustris*), ragged robin (*Lychnis flos-cuculi*), marsh stitchwort (*Stellaria palustris*), marsh thistle (*Cirsium palustre*) and sedge. While these suggest generally wet conditions, the seeds of water crowfoot (*Ranunculus* subgenus *Batrachium*) are likely to have come from species growing within standing water within the ditch.

The sample from Enclose 3 ditch 76 was similar in composition, but had generally few numbers of seeds and species. Nettle and several shrub and woodland species were well represented, including bramble (*Rubus* sp.) and rodent gnawed sloe stones (*Prunus spinosa*). A similar hedge scrub component was indicated also by large quantities of elder seed present. Seeds of calcareous woodland soils, white bryony (*Bryonia dioica*), and of woodlands in general, rough chervil (*Chaerophyllum temulum*), ground ivy (*Glechoma hederacea*) and deadly nightshade, were also recovered. Open ground or arable species were relatively rare, as were wetland species. The latter is unsurprising since this ditch was located further from the stream than ditch 128.

The general impression from the ditch deposits is that of probable scrub hedges perhaps composed of relict scrub/woodland. The sample from ditch 128 has a fairly large open element with possible arable species fairly well representative, whereas that from ditch 76 suggests a slightly more closed environment. Given that the infilling of both ditches is more likely to have taken place during their abandonment it may be that both contain elements of the re-establishment of scrub, although these may have been brought into the ditch from elsewhere.

Some of this species from this material represent highly diverse habitats, which include clay (e.g. dogwood), open texture (e.g. bur parsley), and acidic to circum-neutral (e.g. dock). This may indicate local niches or a diversity of soils within the vicinity of the ditch. However, it is possible that some seeds may have been washed into the ditches from further afield.

A single sample was examined from watering hole 99. This came from the lowest fill and contained large numbers of seeds of common nettle, indicative of nitrogen rich soils probably close by. Only a few seeds of open ground species plants (e.g. tormentil) were present, as well as occasional seeds indicative of open damp woodlands (e.g. hemlock and rough chervil), which may suggest the proximity of such environments to the watering hole. Cones and catkins of alder and seeds of elder were recovered from this deposit along with large numbers of buds. Both the seeds of elder and alder produce blue/purple and black dyes respectively (Greig 1992, Grigson 1987).

Three samples were examined from watering hole 81. The primary fill (945) contained relatively little material. Only a few seeds of *Urtica dioica* were present, along with a few seeds of species associated with open conditions, such as chickweed. Seeds of hemlock were common and may imply open waste ground or woodland, although evidence of larger woodland trees and shrubs was rare. However, other seeds of lower growing woodland and hedgerow herbs such as deadly nightshade, bittersweet (*Solanum dulcamara*) and bramble were recovered.

Particularly common in this sample were seeds of species associated with water, wetlands and fen conditions. Common fleabane (*Pulicaria dysenterica*) and marsh thistle are associated with ditches and wet woodlands, while marsh stitchwort (*Stellaria palustris*) is common in marshes and fens, while common/water figwort (*Scrophularia nodosa/auriculata*), and water mint (*Mentha aquatica*) often occur along pond edges or in wet woods. Duckweed (*Lemna* sp.), is characteristic of the still water environments found in ponds.

Moving up the profile of the watering hole, the sample from context 523 contained larger quantities of nettle seeds and may suggest an increase in open nitrogenous soils in the vicinity of the pit. Open ground species including orache and dock seeds were recovered. Seeds of three-nerved sandwort (*Moehringia trinervia*) suggest well-drained, wooded environments, along with elder and a single alder catkin, while fen conditions are indicated by seeds of marsh pennywort (*Hydrocotyle vulgaris*) and meadow sweet (*Filipendula ulmaria*). Other woodland and scrub species were also represented in this stratigraphically later sample, such as deadly nightshade and ground ivy. Curiously, sandwort and pennywort are commoner on acidic soils (Grime *et al* 1988), while deadly nightshade and bryony, as found in the earlier samples is commoner on well drained calcareous soils (Stace 1997). The wetland species were recovered in quantities similar to that seen before.

The latest fill examined (context 499) contained fewer seeds than the earlier deposits, a factor probably related to its higher stratigraphic position in relation to the water-table and hence having suffered from periodic drying. In spite of this the continued presence of seeds of *Lemna* sp. would still seem to indicate that still water was present at this height for periods during the deposition of this later fill and that the drying of the deposit perhaps only occurred in more recent times. Of the few seeds found they were mainly of open ground species associated with arable such as orache and fat-hen (*Chenopodium album*), while rush seeds, *Juncus* sp. were also prolific.

This watering hole would appear to have been situated close to previous woodland and/or perhaps hedges formed through the cutting of woodland, a scenario seen within the samples from the ditches. It held standing water for much of its history. It is also possible that some acidification of the soils in its vicinity occurred in later periods, as seen from the increased number of species associated with such conditions. This later point would seem to confirm the evidence that possibly a mixture of species associated with different soil types may have co-existed within the excavated region during the Roman period. While the latest sample may indicate that open conditions prevailed during the final infilling of the waterhole, it is possible that some regeneration of woodland occurred during the initial infilling of the watering hole.

Unfortunately while both watering holes 81 and 99 indicate the strong presence of woodland or hedge conditions suggesting that they were either sited in the corner of fields or within former shrub/open fen alder carr type woodland little evidence is available as to any further more specific purpose.

The location of domestic and arable activities

Although charred material is often linked to domestic activity involving the processing of cereals, their charring and the deposition of this waste either as taken from the hearth and deposited on a midden or from the hearth spread itself. The absence of charred material relating to crops from both the waterlogged and charred deposit from ditch 76 would then tend to suggest that when this feature was infilled, it was

located at some distance from such domestic activity. The relative dearth of charred remains also indicates that neither of the waterlogged pits was located in close proximity to places where domestic activities, concerned with the hearth or deposition of hearth material, were carried out. The presence of some charred material in ditch 128 and the upper fills of watering hole 81 may suggest that such domestic activities were at least being conducted in the general area.

The waterlogged samples contained many species that were also recovered from the charred assemblages. While it is possible that these species may have arrived on site with crops or other material, the general lack of evidence for other cereal waste from the waterlogged deposits suggest that many were at least growing in the local area. Consequently there is little reason to suggest that the crops themselves, from which the charred material is thought to derive, were not grown in the general vicinity of the settlement.

References

Boardman, S. and G. Jones, 1990, Experiments on the effects of charring cereal plant components, *J. Archaeol. Sci.* **17**, 1-11

Grieve, M., 1992, *A Modern Herbal*, London, Tiger Books International

Grigson, G., 1987, *The Englishman's Flora*, London, Dent & Sons,

Grime J.P., Hodgson, J.G. and Hunt, R., 1988, *Comparative plant ecology: a functional approach to common British species*, London, Unwin Hyman

Hillman, G. 1981, Reconstructing crop husbandry practices from charred remains of crops, in R. Mercer (ed), *Farming Practices in British Prehistory*, Edinburgh University Press, 123-62

Hillman, G., 1984, Interpretation of archaeological plant remains: the application of ethnographic models from Turkey, in W. van Zeist and W.A. Casparie (eds), *Plants and Ancient Man: Studies in Palaeoethnobotany*, Rotterdam, Balkema, 1-41

Table 1: Charred plant remains

Phase	unphased		1			2		3		5	
	Pit		Pit	Ditch	Ring gully	Ditch	Ditch	Ditch	Ditch	Ditch	
Feature	15		112	124	80	197	76	890	76	285	
Context	395		890	736	431	792	896	890	890	755	
Sample number	10		28	36	14	35	43	45	45	29	
Original volume (l)	10		9	10	10	9	10	1	1	9	
Flot size (ml)	25		500	125	15	50	40	10	10	20	
Flot examined	75%		80%	20%	70%	80%	10%	100%	100%	75%	
Crops											
<i>Triticum dicoccum</i> (glume base)	-		-	cf.1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Triticum dicoccum</i> (spikelet fork)	-		-	cf.1	4	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Triticumcf. spelta</i> (grain)	-		-	3	-	9	-	-	-	-	
<i>Triticum spelta</i> (glume bases)	-		1	12	4	-	-	5	-	-	
<i>Triticum dicoccum/spelta</i> (grain)	-		6	5	7	1	21	-	-	1	
<i>Triticum dicoccum/spelta</i> (tail-grain)	-		-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
<i>Triticum dicoccum/spelta</i> (glume bases)	-		3	47	3	14	7	19	-	2	
<i>Triticum dicoccum/spelta</i> (spikelet forks)	-		-	4	-	-	3	-	-	-	
<i>Triticum aestivum sensu lato</i> (grain)	-		-	cf.1	-	cf.1	cf.1	cf.1	-	-	
<i>Triticum aestivum sensu lato</i> (rachis frags)	-		-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
<i>Triticum sp. (grain)</i>	-		-	7	3	-	11	-	-	-	
<i>Hordeum vulgare/distichum</i> (grains)	-		-	cf.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Hordeum sp. (tail grain)</i>	-		-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Hordeum/Secale</i> (rachis fragment)	-		-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Cereal (grains indet.)	-		-	8	1	1+3 frags	10	-	-	1	
Cereal embryos	-		-	-	-	1+cf.2	-	-	-	-	
Cereal (culm nodes)	-		-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	
Cereal basal rachis fragment	-		-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cereal (culm internode)	-		-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cereal/Poaceae (basal culm node)	1		-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Poaceae/cereal caryopsis fragments	-		-	4	8	-	-	10	-	-	
<i>Pisium sativum</i>	cf.2		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Vicia faba</i>	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1fgr	

Phase	unphased		1			2	3	5
	Pit	Pit	Pit	Ditch	Ring gully	Ditch	Ditch	Ditch
Feature	15	112	845	124	80	197	76	285
Context	395	890	847	736	431	792	896	890
Sample number	10	28	49	36	14	35	43	45
Original volume (l)	10	9	10	10	10	9	10	1
Flot size (ml)	25	500	40	125	15	50	40	10
Flot examined	75%	80%	30%	20%	70%	80%	10%	100%
Weeds								
<i>Ranunculus acris/bulbosus/repens</i>	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Chenopodiaceae	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-
<i>Chenopodium</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
<i>Atriplex</i> sp.	-	-	-	3	-	1	1	-
<i>Montia fontana</i> subsp. <i>chondrosperma</i>	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Cerastium</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
<i>Fallopia convolvulus</i>	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-
<i>Rumex</i> sp.	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	-
<i>Rumex acetosella</i>	-	-	1	14	1	-	-	-
<i>Rumex</i> cf. <i>crispus/longifolius</i> type	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	-
<i>Rumex conglomeratus/obtusifolius/sanguineus</i> type	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
<i>Brassica</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Raphanus raphanistrum</i> (capsule)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	cf.1
<i>Potentilla</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
<i>Crataegus</i> sp. (thorn)	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
<i>Trifolium</i> sp. (small)	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
<i>Vicia/Lathyrus</i> sp.	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	-
<i>Vicia/Lathyrus/Pisium</i>	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
<i>Vicia</i> sp. (small <2.5mm)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lathyrus</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	1 tetrasperma	-	-	-
<i>Medicago/Trifolium</i> sp.	-	-	cf.1	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Medicago</i> cf. <i>lupulina</i>	-	-	-	1	-	-	2	-
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-
<i>Ballota nigra</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	cf.1
<i>Prunella vulgaris</i>	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	-	-	-	cf.1	-	-	1	-
<i>Gallium</i> sp.	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

Phase Feature	unphased	1			2	3		5
		Pit	Ditch	Ring gully		Ditch	Ditch	
	Pit 15	112	124	80	197	76	285	
Context	395	890	736	431	792	896	755	
Sample number	10	28	49	14	35	43	29	
Original volume (l)	10	9	10	10	9	10	9	
Flot size (ml)	25	500	40	125	50	40	20	
Flot examined	75%	80%	30%	20%	80%	10%	75%	
cleavers	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	
stinking mayweed	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	
scentless mayweed	-	-	1+cf.2	1	-	-	-	
monocot stems	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	
monocot roots	-	1	1	4	-	-	1	
sedges	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
spike-rush	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	
sedge	cf.1	-	-	1	-	-	-	
cat's tail's/meadow grass	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
large grass seeds	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	
grass seed (2.0-3.0 mm)	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	
small grass seed	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	
Grass stems	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Grass stem nodes	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	
perennial rye-grass	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	
brome grass	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	
meadow grass	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Fescue type grain	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
meadow-grass	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	
cat's tail's	-	-	-	-	-	cf.1	-	
oats	cf.2	1	-	5	1	6	-	
brome grass/oats	-	-	2	-	-	1	-	
sedge	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	
unidentifiable seeds	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	
small indet. seeds (<2.5mm)	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
unidentified parenchyma	7	1	-	-	1	-	-	
Dung/bread conglomerate	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	
fungal sclerotia	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	

Phase	unphased			1			2		3		5
	Pit	Pit	Ring gully	Pit	Ditch	Ring gully	Ditch	Ditch	Ditch	Ditch	Ditch
Feature	15	112	80	845	124	80	197	76	76	285	
Context	395	890	431	847	736	431	792	896	890	755	
Sample number	10	28	14	49	36	14	35	43	45	29	
Original volume (l)	10	9	10	10	10	10	9	10	1	9	
Flot size (ml)	25	500	15	40	125	15	50	40	10	20	
Flot examined	75%	80%	70%	30%	20%	70%	80%	10%	100%	75%	
tree/small shrubs buds indet.	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	
soft plant-tissue	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 frags	-	-	1	
Ignota	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Mineralised											
Wood	+++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Insect remains	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Eggs	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Ocimum cf. basilicum</i> ,	cf.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Thlaspi arvense</i>	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fabaceae indet. (large >2mm)	cf.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Vicia faba/Pisium sativum</i>	cf. 7 frags	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	cf.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i>	cf.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Poaceae/Cereal inter culm fragments	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 2: Waterlogged plant remains

Sample number	30W	45W	22W	40W	47W	42W
Context number	761	890	499	523	945	888
Feature	Ditch 128	Ditch 76	Watering hole 81	Watering hole 81	Watering hole 81	Watering hole 99
Feature segment	758	889	497	524	906	883
Original volume (l)	10	9	9	7	9	10
Volume (ml)/split (ml)	450	175/87.5	75	500/125	1000/125	750/125
Crops						
<i>Triticum spelta</i> (spikelet forks)	-	-	-	1 charred	-	-
<i>Triticum spelta</i> (glume bases)	4 charred	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> hulled grains	-	-	1 charred	-	-	-
Cerealia indet	2f	-	1f	-	-	-
Embryos	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shoots	1	-	-	-	-	-
Weeds						
<i>Chara</i> sp. oogonia	-	-	1	-	-	-
<i>Ranunculus a/r/lb</i>	3+1f	-	-	-	-	3
<i>Ranunculus</i> subgenus <i>Batrachium</i>	9+5f	1f	-	-	-	-
<i>Thalictrum flavum</i>	1	-	-	4f	-	-
<i>Papaver argemone</i>	2	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Urtica dioica</i>	1000+	100+	1	100+	12	1000+
<i>Urtica urens</i>	1	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i> female cone	1	-	-	-	-	9+8 bracts
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i> male catkin	2f	4	-	-	-	28f
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i> catkin rachis	-	1	-	-	-	3
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i> fruits	1	5	-	1	-	27
<i>Corylus avellana</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Chenopodium polyspermum</i>	-	-	-	11	1	-
<i>Chenopodium album</i>	2	-	1	-	-	-
<i>Atriplex</i> sp.	-	-	1+5f	1f	-	-
<i>Montia fontana</i> ssp. <i>chondrosperma</i>	1+1f	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Moehringia trinervia</i>	-	-	-	2	-	-
<i>Stellaria media</i>	165+59f	20+11f	-	1	2f	1f

Sample number	30W	45W	22W	40W	47W	42W
Context number	761	890	499	523	945	888
Feature	Ditch 128	Ditch 76	Watering hole 81	Watering hole 81	Watering hole 81	Watering hole 99
Feature segment	758	889	497	524	906	883
Original volume (l)	10	9	9	7	9	10
Volume (ml)/split (ml)	450	175/87.5	75	500/125	1000/125	750/125
<i>Stellaria holostea</i>	23	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Stellaria palustris</i>	3	-	-	-	1	-
<i>Cerastium fontanum</i>	2	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lychnis flos-cuculi</i>	22	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Persicaria maculosa</i>	-	1+1f	-	-	-	-
<i>Polygonum aviculare</i>	2	-	-	-	-	1f
<i>Rumex acetosella</i>	7	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Rumex conglomeratus</i>	5	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Rumex sp.</i>	4+1f	2	-	7+1 charred	-	4
<i>Rumex sp. tubercles</i>	1	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hypericum sp.</i>	1	-	-	2	-	-
<i>Bryonia dioica</i>	-	1+9f	-	-	8f	-
<i>Salix sp. fruit valves</i>	23	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Anagallis arvensis</i>	2	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Filipendula ulmaria</i>	2	1	-	3+10f	-	-
<i>Rubus Sect 2 Glandulosus</i>	2+4f	35+73f	-	2+10f	4+8f	1+2f
<i>Rubus sp. prickles</i>	-	46	-	-	-	-
<i>Potentilla sp.</i>	2+1f	-	-	-	-	1
<i>Prunus spinosa</i>	-	15+83f	-	-	-	-
<i>Prunus domestica</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Crataegus sp. fruits</i>	10f	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Crataegus/Prunus sp. thorns</i>	4	3	-	-	-	-
<i>Trifolium sp.</i>	1 petal	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Epilobium sp.</i>	-	-	-	2	-	-
<i>Cornus sanguinea</i>	1f	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hydrocotyle vulgaris</i>	-	-	-	1	-	-
<i>Chaerophyllum temulentum</i>	10+6f	1+2f	-	1+3f	1f	4+3f
<i>Anthriscus caucalis</i>	9+1f	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Aethusa cynapium</i>	-	-	-	1f	-	-

Sample number	30W	45W	22W	40W	47W	42W
Context number	761	890	499	523	945	888
Feature	Ditch 128	Ditch 76	Watering hole 81	Watering hole 81	Watering hole 81	Watering hole 99
Feature segment	758	889	497	524	906	883
Original volume (l)	10	9	9	7	9	10
Volume (ml)/split (ml)	450	175/87.5	75	500/125	1000/125	750/125
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	-	-	1	25+86f	3+23f	-
<i>Apium nodiflorum</i>	6	17	1+1f	252+53f	22+21f	-
Apiaceae indet.	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Atropa belladonna</i>	7f	3+1f	-	1+4f	2	-
<i>Solanum dulcamara</i>	-	5+3f	-	-	2f	1
<i>Stachys palustris</i>	6+3f	-	-	2	-	-
<i>Stachys</i> sp.	2	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lamium album/purpureum</i>	62+10f	-	-	-	-	2
<i>Glechoma hederacea</i>	-	4	-	1	-	2
<i>Prunella vulgaris</i>	1	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lycopus europaeus</i>	1	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Mentha aquatica</i>	2	-	-	39	10	-
<i>Callitriche</i> sp.	-	3	-	-	2	-
<i>Scrophularia nodosa/auriculata</i>	-	-	-	1	6	-
<i>Sambucus nigra</i>	72+109f	133+136f	12+49f	14+13f	8+5f	158+128f
<i>Carduus nutans</i>	-	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Cirsium palustre</i>	16+32f	8	-	2+6f	2+4f	1
<i>Cirsium</i> sp.	-	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Onopordum acanthium</i>	1	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lapsana communis</i>	6	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sonchus oleraceus</i>	1	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Sonchus asper</i>	-	1	-	-	-	-
<i>Pulicaria dysenterica</i>	-	-	-	3	2	-
<i>Anthemis cotula</i>	2	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Alisma</i> sp. embryos	1	3	-	-	-	-
<i>Lemna</i> sp.	-	-	28	23	21	-
<i>Juncus</i> sp.	-	-	100+	1	-	-
<i>Eleocharis palustris</i>	5	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Carex</i> sp.	18+1f	7+1f	-	1	2+1f	1

Sample number	30W	45W	22W	40W	47W	42W
Context number	761	890	499	523	945	888
Feature	Ditch 128	Ditch 76	Watering hole 81	Watering hole 81	Watering hole 81	Watering hole 99
Feature segment	758	889	497	524	906	883
Original volume (l)	10	9	9	7	9	10
Volume (ml)/split (ml)	450	175/87.5	75	500/125	1000/125	750/125
Small Poaceae <1mm	1	1	-	27	6	4
Buds	17	13	-	-	5	100+
Leaf abscission pads	24	-	-	-	-	-
Musci	few	few	-	-	-	few
Charcoal fragments	36	2	33	8	-	4
Earthworm cocoons	40	16	16	16	-	100+
Miscellaneous	-	-	-	-	-	1



WESSEX ARCHAEOLOGY LIMITED.

Registered Head Office: Portway House, Old Sarum Park, Salisbury, Wiltshire SP4 6EB.

Tel: 01722 326867 Fax: 01722 337562 info@wessexarch.co.uk www.wessexarch.co.uk

London Office: Unit 113, The Chandlery, 50 Westminster Bridge Road, London SE1 7QY.

Tel: 020 7953 7494 Fax: 020 7953 7499 london-info@wessexarch.co.uk www.wessexarch.co.uk

